IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 3133 of 2005

Between:

Masseti Subhash, S/o.Raghavulu,
R/o.Shankerpally, RR District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Sub Inspector of police, Shankerpally V&M, RR District.
2 Baddam Krishna Reddy, S/o.Ananta Reddy,
R/o.Market Road, Shankerpally V&M
RR District.
3 Patwari Janardhan Reddy, S/o.Ramachandra Reddy
R/o.Shankerpally V&M, Ranga Reddy Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in calling the petitioner along with his son to the 1st respondent police station with a proposal to sell 0.80 sq.yds of land in R.S.No.197 of shankerpally V&M, Ranga Reddy Dist., adjacent to Shankerpally - Hyderabad Road in favour of the 2nd respondent or his interest and also detaining the petitioner and his son in police station from morning 7.00 a.m to till night without any complaint against the petitioner or without any reasonable cause is erroneous, arbitrary and contrary to law and violation of Arts. 14 & 21 of the Constitution of India and conseqently direct the respondent No.1 not to interfere with the petitioner's life, liberty and property and to pass necessary order or orders as this Hon'ble court may deems fit.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.YALLABANDI RAMATIRTHA

Counsel for the Respondent No 1: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the respondents 2 & 3: Mr. S. Vishweshwar

The Court made the following :

***ORAL ORDER*:**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home. Perused the material placed before me.

Aggrieved by the action of the 1st respondent in giving pressure on him by calling him and his son to the police station to sell the 0.80 sq. yards of land in R.S.No.197 of Shankerpally V&M, Ranga Reddy Dist., adjacent to Shankerpally - Hyderabad Road in favour of the 2nd respondent or his interest and also in detaining the petitioner and his son in police station from morning 7.00 am to till night without there being any complaint against the petitioner, lodged by any person, or without any reasonable cause, the petitioner filed this writ petition.

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the 1st respondent is hand in glove with the respondents 2 and 3 who are trying to grab the property of the petitioner in an extent of 0.80 Sq. Yards, which is abutting to the main road. In that pursuit, the 1st respondent has been harassing the petitioner by calling him to the Police station quite often and applying pressure on him to dispose of the property in question in favour of the respondents 2 and 3.

On the other hand, respondents 2 and 3 filed a counter affidavit stating that they are no longer interested in the disputed property.

However, the learned Government Pleader for Home representing the 1st respondent, upon instructions, submitted that the 1st

respondent has not at all been interfering with the affairs of the petitioner and his property.

Having regard to the submissions made on behalf of the respective respondents, this Court is of the opinion that the writ petition needs no orders to be passed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is closed at the stage of admission. No costs.

---

kvsn 02-03-2005

To

1 The Sub Inspector of police, Shankerpally V&M, RR District.
2 Two copies to the GP for Home, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

3 Two CDs.